65 55 Successful Correction of a Pathogenic Mutation Causing Lipoprotein Lipase Deficiency, Using a CRISPR/Cas9 Base Editing Therapeutic Approach

Tiffany Carlaw¹, Yuejun Guo², Siyue Yu², Linhua Zhang², Colin Ross²

¹Department of Medical Genetics, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC ²Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC



- CRISPR/Cas9 base editors provide a \bullet novel approach to treat > 6000 known genetic diseases.¹
- Safety and efficacy need rigorous testing and optimization
- We designed a proof-of-principle disease model to evaluate and optimize the in vitro and in vivo therapeutic potential of CRISPR/Cas9 base editing
- We successfully demonstrated efficient repair of a pathogenic LPLD mutation in HEK293 cells via base editing

Background

Lipoprotein Lipase Deficiency (LPLD)

Autosomal recessive genetic disease caused by mutation in LPL gene³

- LPL hydrolyses triglycerides in blood serum
- Patients with LPLD present extreme hypertriglyceridemia, chronic abdominal pain, hepatosplenomegaly and pancreatitis
- Childbearing strongly discouraged for female patients due to LPLD related complications





Results



AmpR

3.

Figure 3. Schematic of Cloning of LPL expressing plasmids (mutant and wildtype)

Clone

IPID

mutations

in FLP-In

plasmids



Figure 4. Results of Stabilized LPL^{1194T} expression in Flp-In[™] T-Rex[™] 293 cells

G A A G C <mark>A T T</mark> G G A A T C C

G A A G C A C T G G A A T C C

C

Show

gene

correction

and

functional

restoration

Obtain

patient

cell lines

and

create

mouse

mode



Normal Blood serum LPLD Patients

Fig. 2: Clinical Features of LPLD

Limited therapeutic options⁴

- Currently an extreme no-fat diet is the best therapeutic option, however in spite of strict adherence, hospitalizations due to LPLD related complications do continue
- Enzyme replacement therapies are not effective due to the short \bullet half-life of LPL in blood serum

Rationale for Gene Therapy

Dr. Ross and others developed an innovative gene therapy to treat LPLD, using AAV delivery of the function LPL gene \rightarrow alipogene tiparvovec (Glybera®)⁵⁻⁷

- First gene-augmentation therapy to receive regulatory approval
- Limitations (including the high cost of the AAV production driving the pricing to over \$1 million USD per treatment) led to its withdrawal from the market⁸

Hypothesis

Optimization: A variety of base editors were tested alongside commercially available gRNA formulations. Our results indicated that only Sakkh-BE3 plasmid (Addgene #85170) and sgRNA cloned onto a plasmid (Addgene #70709) worked efficiently for our target site. In addition, we identified cell toxicity induced by increasing amounts of Lipofectamine® 3000 as a key limitation.

Strategy

3

Test and

optimize

correction

efficiency

Stabilize

plasmids

into Flp-

In™ T-

Rex™ 293

cells



Discussion

We initially tested mutation correction efficiency using a transient transfection approach. We saw very limited correction (<10%). We then moved into stabilized cell lines in order to ensure equal expression in both wildtype control and mutation cell lines. When transfected with base editor plasmids and the corresponding guides, our mutant cell lines showed superior correction than previously demonstrated. Using Sanger sequencing we have observed ~35% correction of the LPL^{1221T} mutation. Due to the substantial change observed between the two experiments, we concluded that the stabilized cell lines were a better model to move forward with due to the ability to limit wildtype expression to a single stabilized copy.

We hypothesize that CRISPR/Cas9 base editing delivered using lipid nanoparticles can successfully repair the mutant LPL gene and demonstrate superior therapeutic benefit over the previous approach, by both circumventing the issues of dysregulated gene expressed and by allowing for repeat administration.^{9,10,11,12}

Acknowledgements: Thank you to the Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research, Genome BC and NMIN for their support of the Ross Lab. Thank you for contributions from: Dr. Liu Lab (MIT) for their help in designing the guide RNA, Dr. Cullis (Lab UBC) for their collaboration on LNP formulations, also thank you to Dr. Li (UBC), Dr. Kumar (UBC), Dr. Williams (UBC) Dr. Collier (UBC) and Dr. Nislow (UBC) for shared equipment

References Cited:

1. ClinVar https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/intro/ 2. Addgene https://www.addgene.org/crispr/base-edit/ 3. NIH – Genetics Home Reference: https://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/condition/familial-lipoprotein-lipase-deficiency#sourcesforpage 4. Chaudlry R. et al. (2018) Expect Rev Clin Pharmacol. 11(6):589-598 doi: 10.1080/17512433.2018.1480368. 5. Ross et al. (2004) Hum Gen Ther. 15(9):906-91. 6. Ross et al. (2006) Hum Gen Ther. 17(5):487-499. 7. Kastelein et al. (2013) Hum Gene Ther. 24(5):472-478. 8. Regalado A. (2016) The World's Most Expensive Medicine Is a Bust. 9. Komor et al. (2016) Nature 533: 420-424. 10. Kim et al. (2017) Nature Biotechnology 35(4):371-376 11. Hu et al. (2018) Nature 556(7699): p. 57-63. 12. Cullis P.R. and M.J. Hope. (2017) Mol Ther, 25(7): p. 1467-1475 Background photo from: Haley Bennett, https://eic.rsc.org/feature/crispr-the-cutting-edge/2500411.article

5. Future Directions

These cell lines are the basis for a series of optimization experiments using lipid nanoparticle (LNP) delivery of Base Editing constructs. We are currently quantifying protein restoration using an LPL specific assay in conjunction with ELISA (data not shown). We are also obtaining both a patient cell line to demonstrate proof-of-principle in diseased cells as well as generating a mouse model using the I221T mutation as the basis. This model will enable us to test our base editing approach in vivo and optimize the best delivery approaches using LNPs.







THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA